1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 5 * * * 6 KASSITY AMBER HIGGINS, Case No. 2:20-cv-00918-BNW 7 Plaintiff, 8 **ORDER** v. 9 ANDREW SAUL, 10 Defendant. 11 12 13 Presently before the Court is pro se plaintiff Kassity Amber Higgins' first and second 14 applications to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF Nos. 1, 4). Ms. Higgins filed an application to 15 proceed in forma pauperis on May 20, 2020 (ECF No. 1) and an updated application the next day (ECF No. 4). Accordingly, the Court will deny ECF No. 1 as moot and review the updated 16 17 application at ECF No. 4. 18 I. In Forma Pauperis Application 19 Ms. Higgins has submitted the declaration required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) showing an 20 inability to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. ECF No. 4. Accordingly, her request 21 to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted. The Court will next screen Ms. Higgins' complaint. 22 ECF No. 1-1. 23 24 ... 25 26 27 28

II. Screening the Complaint

A. Standard of Review

Upon granting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a court must screen the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). In screening the complaint, a court must identify cognizable claims and dismiss claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

Dismissal for failure to state a claim under § 1915(e)(2) incorporates the standard for failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *Watison v. Carter*, 668 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012). To survive § 1915 review, a complaint must "contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *See Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). In considering whether the complaint is sufficient to state a claim, all allegations of material fact are taken as true and construed in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. *Wyler Summit P'ship v. Turner Broad. Sys. Inc.*, 135 F.3d 658, 661 (9th Cir. 1998) (citation omitted). Although the standard under Rule 12(b)(6) does not require detailed factual allegations, a plaintiff must provide more than mere labels and conclusions. *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). A formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action is insufficient. *Id.* Unless it is clear that the complaint's deficiencies could not be cured through amendment, a plaintiff should be given leave to amend the complaint with notice regarding the complaint's deficiencies. *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995).

If a plaintiff's complaint challenges a decision by the Social Security Administration, before filing a lawsuit, the plaintiff must exhaust administrative remedies. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); *see also Bass v. Social Sec. Admin.*, 872 F.2d 832, 833 (9th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) ("Section 405(g) provides that a civil action may be brought only after (1) the claimant has been party to a hearing held by the Secretary, and (2) the Secretary has made a final decision on the

¹ Although § 1915 largely concerns prisoner litigation, § 1915(e) applies to all *in forma* pauperis proceedings. *Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001) ("[T]he provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) are not limited to prisoners[.]").

claim"). Generally, if the SSA denies a claimant's application for disability benefits, the claimant may request reconsideration of the decision. If the claim is denied at the reconsideration level, a claimant may request a hearing before an administrative law judge. If the ALJ denies the claim, a claimant may request review of the decision by the Appeals Council. If the Appeals Council declines to review the ALJ's decision, a claimant may then request judicial review. *See generally* 20 C.F.R. §§ 404, 416.

Once a plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, she may obtain judicial review of a SSA decision denying benefits by filing suit within 60 days after notice of a final decision. *Id.* An action for judicial review of a determination by the SSA must be brought "in the district court of the United States for the judicial district in which the plaintiff resides." *Id.* The complaint should state the nature of plaintiff's disability, when plaintiff claims she became disabled, and when and how she exhausted her administrative remedies. The complaint should also contain a plain, short, and concise statement identifying the nature of plaintiff's disagreement with the determination made by the SSA and show that plaintiff is entitled to relief.

A district court can affirm, modify, reverse, or remand a decision if plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies and timely filed a civil action. However, judicial review of the Commissioner's decision to deny benefits is limited to determining: (a) whether there is substantial evidence in the record as a whole to support the findings of the Commissioner; and (b) whether the correct legal standards were applied. *Morgan v. Commissioner of the Social Security Adm.*, 169 F.3d 595, 599 (9th Cir. 1999).

B. Analysis

Here, Ms. Higgins alleges that on March 27, 2020, the Appeals Council denied her request for review, and, at that time, the ALJ's decision became the final decision of the Commissioner. ECF Nos. 1-1 at 3, 1-3 at 5–8 (letter from the Appeals Council denying request for review of the ALJ's decision). She filed this action within the allowable period. Thus, it appears that Ms. Higgins has exhausted her administrative remedies and timely commenced this action.

Additionally, her Complaint includes sufficient facts to state a claim for relief, alleging that substantial evidence does not support the ALJ's decision in light of new medical findings.

Case 2:20-cv-00918-BNW Document 7 Filed 06/25/20 Page 5 of 5

From this point forward, Plaintiff must serve on Defendant or, if appearance has been entered by an attorney, on the attorney, a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document submitted for consideration by the Court. Plaintiff must include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was personally served or sent by mail to the defendants or counsel for the defendants. The Court may disregard any paper received by a district judge or magistrate judge that has not been filed with the Clerk, and any paper received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the Clerk that fails to include a certificate of service. DATED: June 24, 2020 BRENDA WEKSLER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE